Harriet A. Barnard, who was only 24 years old herself. David L. Moore in her household was 22 at the time. The even more unusual thing about this household is its significant financial status. Harriet showed a valuation of her wealth at \$310,000. David L. Moore had a value of holdings at \$317,000. Samuel H. Moore was listed with \$330,000, even though he was shown as a student at age 17. Finally, Kate F. Moore was shown with a worth of \$317,000. It appears from this data that the four were probably all brothers and sisters who had inherited an estate from deceased parents, and therefore, Harriet would be a Moore by maiden name. Harriet had no doubt inherited the house, as the reason that she was listed as head of household and with a valuation different from the others. Without a detailed examination of the estate papers or will, there is no explanation of why Samuel's worth was shown as slightly more than the other siblings'. According to the listing for William Echols next door to the family of Barnard – Moore, they were definitely living in downtown Huntsville at the time of the 1860 census, since William is known to have resided in one of the old mansions downtown.

The Ahnentafel shown earlier said that Harriet  $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$ . Moore married R. B. Rhett. However, there is an entry in the Madison County Records Center on-line archives of the Marriage Index that shows Harriet  $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ . Moore licensed to wed John D. <u>**Barnard**</u> on 15 November 1854, per Marriage Book 4-B, page 75. A check of the Madison County Records Center data for R. B. Rhett shows that he married (second) "Mrs. Harriet M. (for "Moore") Barnard in 1867:

Rhett, R. Barnwell J.	Horton, Josephine B.	Vol. 4A p. 431	09 Oct 1851
Rhett, Robert Barnwell, Jr.	Barnard, Harriet M. Mrs.	Vol. 5 p. 366	09 Jul 1867

Additionally, there was a Samuel Moore who was licensed to wed Susanna Perkins on 27 October 1814 in Madison County. There were other Samuel Moore entries for marriage licenses in the 1820s and 1830s, so the name Samuel was apparently used for generations of the Moore families in Madison County. There was no marriage index entry for Samuel Moore for the 1850s, 1860s, or 1870s in Madison County (which does not exclude possible marriage outside this county), but in 1899 there was a license for S.